

United States Patent and Trademark Office

H

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,213	08/22/2003	Todor Sheljaskow	2003P09221US	9032
7590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 08830			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/646,213	SHELJASKOW, TODOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Budd	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	bruary 2006.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	· .					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammor. Note the attached Office	Action of 10111 F 10-132.				
<u> </u>						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
= 1						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of	in the certified copies not received	u.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Table 140(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/646,213

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 5, 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Smith (095). In figure 3 Smith teaches a multidimensional array of piezoelectric elements, including multiple acoustic impedance matching layers #40. Since the claim terminology does not specify that the multiple layers are associated with each other (for example, stacked one above the other), each individual element #40 can fairly be interpreted as one matching layer. Thus, in figure 3 of the Smith which shows and an array of 6 x 3 piezoelectric elements and associated matching layers, this figure contains 18 matching layers #40. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Smith (095) in view of Smith (406). Smith (095) in figure 3 teachers the basic multidimensional array transducer, but uses only a single thickness of a conductive acoustic impedance matching material (#40). However, Smith (406) teaches that an acoustic impedance match becomes more efficient when providing multiple layers of matching materials. Smith (also teaches how to design the layers to achieve a predictable outcome (this includes relationships between material density and layer thickness). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art to provide multiple electrically conductive acoustic impedance matching layers in Smith (095) for the advantages talk by Smith (406). Since Smith (095) specifically desires the impedance matching layer #40 to be conductive to allow for the outside piezoelectric electrode to be electrically connected to ground, any subdivision of layer #40 (or provision of multiple layers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,213

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

to provide an operable device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019.

acoustic impedance matching lawyer) would of necessity be electrically conductive it or

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-2044 The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Budd

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2834